

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE 02/15/2002		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2850	
10/077,596			Alan D. Snow	017170-0010-999		
20583 JONES DAY	7590	08/08/2007	EXAMINER			
222 EAST 41ST ST				CHONG, YONG SOO		
NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			· ·	1617		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				08/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/077,596	SNOW ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Yong S. Chong	1617	

<u>.</u>	rong S. Chong	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
 THE REPLY FILED <u>18 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice o ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	Page 215 07 05D 44 07 or 44 5	- Cl. 1 - 10.1 - 1	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
	hut wing to the data of filing a bein	d will not be entered by	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see No		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	•		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-38,55 and 56</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A brafana a canada a da da a da Citara a l	Šlada a se Amara al cello de	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08/Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	Walnam	M.	
	SREENI PADMANABHAN	Yong S. Chong	
	OMECINI FADIVIANADITAN		

SHEENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but found not persuasive because Applicant's arguments are based on claim amendments that have not been entered into record. Specifically, Applicant's have amended the claims to now use the transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" in independent claim 28. This has changed the scope of the invention, therefore will now require a new search and consideration. Applicant is advised that a RCE needs to be filed in order for the new claim amendments to be entered into record.